



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/852,526	05/09/2001	Serge Andre Rigori	5181-78500	6364	
75	90 07/07/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
B. Noel Kivlin			SUAZO, RAINIER A		
Conley, Rose &	Tayon, P.C.				
P.O. Box 398			ART UNIT	. PAPER NUMBER	
Austin, TX 78767			2144		
•			DATE MAIL ED: 07/07/200	DATE MAIL ED: 07/07/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/852,526	RIGORI ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Rainier Suazo	2144		

	Rainier Suazo	2144					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>17 June 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7.	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f).	g date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since				
	but prior to the data of filing is brief	will not be entered by					
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further co They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo 	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in betappeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1		ected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mnliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		inpliant Amendment	(I TOL-524).				
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: <u>None</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <u>None</u> .		ll be entered and an e	explanation of				
Claim(s) objected to: <u>None</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1</u> -26.							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	vercome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	ils to provide a				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).							
13. Other:	M	IARC D. THOM	N O A				
		MRC THOM	WSW)				
	• ••	EARL	1 1 17 L 17				

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Further search and consideration is required in order to determine patentability on the claimed invention including additional limitations (in relation to "wherein a response received from the external source identifies a proposed service and includes an input stream comprising an encoded version of the requested service, wherein the proposed service is a service required to decode the encoded version of the requested service") in combination with the previously considered functional limitations of the claims 1, 13, 15, 17 and 19; and its dependent claims.

MARC D. THOMPSON

PRIMARY EXAMINES